# NDDB

# **BRUCELLOSIS**

# An Indian perspective

Purpose	3
Introduction	3
Animal brucellosis	4
Incidence and geographical distribution of brucellosis in India	5
Incidence in apparently normal herds	5
Incidence in north India	6
Incidence in south India	7
Incidence in eastern India	8
Incidence in western and other regions and, country as a whole	9 غ
Region-wise comparison of brucellosis incidence in cattle and	
buffaloes in normal herds	10
Field vis-à-vis farm incidence of brucellosis in cattle and buffalo	oes
in normal herds	10
Incidence in herds with history of abortion and other symptoms of	
brucellosis	12
Other reports on brucellosis incidence	14
Human brucellosis	15
Incidence of human brucellosis in India	15
Symptoms of human brucellosis reported in India	17
Brucellosis control in some countries	18
Office International des Epizooties (OIE) criteria	22
For a country or zone to be free from bovine brucellosis	22
For a herd to be officially free from bovine brucellosis	22
For a herd to be free from bovine brucellosis	22
NDDB initiatives in brucellosis control	23
Milk ring test (MRT) popularisation	23
Brucella Strain 19 (S 19) vaccine popularisation	23
Brucella Strain RB 51 vaccine experimentation	23
Tests /reagents available for diagnosis of brucellosis in India	24
Economics of control	24
References	25

#### BRUCELLOSIS: AN INDIAN PERSPECTIVE

## **Purpose**

The main aim of this communication to document all the studies undertaken on the incidence, geographical distribution, zoonoses and other aspects of brucellosis in India during the past three decades with an intent to develop a good understanding of the disease profile in India. It is assumed that this information would be of help in formulating a control strategy for brucellosis.

#### Introduction

Brucellosis, also known by a multitude of synonyms such as 'Undulant, Mediterranean, Malta, Rock, Gibraltar, Cyprus, Typhomalarial fever', Intermittent typhoid and Bang's disease (*Al Dahouk et al.*,2003) is a zoonosis which is almost invariably transmitted by direct or indirect contact with infected animals or their products. It affects people irrespective of age and sex.

It is an important human disease in many parts of the world especially in the Mediterranean countries of Europe, north and east Africa, middle east, south and central Asia and Central and South America. Several endemic areas have achieved control like France, Israel and most of Latin America. But on the other hand new foci have emerged particularly in central Asia and the situation in certain countries in the near east (eg. Syria) is rapidly worsening. (*Pappas et al., 2006*). There are only a few countries in the world that are officially free of the disease.

The disease in humans can be insidious and may be present in many atypical forms. It should be noted that even in the severe form, differential diagnosis can still be difficult.

Brucellosis in India is an important but a neglected disease. It is present in all livestock systems and the increased demand for dairy products and intensified farming practices has raised the concern of increased spread and transmission to humans.(Smits,H.L & Kadri., 2005)

There are six main species that cause brucellosis in livestock and humans: B.abortus, B.suis, B.melitensis, B.neotomae, B.ovis and B.canis.

Marine mammal brucellosis due to two new proposed brucella species (*B.cetaceae & B.pinnipediae*) represents a new zoonotic threat but pathogenicity for humans has not been clearly established.(*Godfroid et al.*,2005)

Currently *B.mellitensis* remains the principal cause of human brucellosis worldwide including India. In India, fewer than 10% of the human brucellosis cases may be clinically recognized and treated or reported. (*Mantur et al.*,2007)

The emerging challenges that need to be addressed by the medical and veterinary community in the control of brucellosis world wide are:

- 1. Expanding wildlife reservoir of brucellosis with a possible impact on domestic animals.
- 2. The emergence of *B.mellitensis* infections in cattle for which prophylactic efficacy of available vaccines has not been established.
- 3. Huge animal reservoir of brucella species in marine mammals for which the potential influence on humans remains unknown. (*Maurin,M.*, 2005)

#### **Animal brucellosis**

Brucellosis is a disease that occurs in many animal species like sheep, goat, cattle, pig, camel, buffalo, horse, mule, donkey etc. Most infections result from ingestion of bacteria either from diseased animals or contaminated feedstuffs. Infection may also be acquired by respiratory exposure and by contamination of abraded skin and mucosal surfaces.

The table below shows the different animal species affected by brucellae species:

Host	B.abortus	B.melitensis	B.suis	B.canis	B.ovis
Cattle	+	+	+(rare)	-	-
Buffaloes	+	+	-	-	-
Sheep	+(rare)	+	+(possible)	_	+
Goats	+(rare)	+	-	_	-
Swine	+(rare)	+(rare)	+	_	-
Dogs	+	+	+(rare)	+	-
Camels	+ <sub>(rare)</sub>	+	-	-	-
Horses	+	+(rare)	+(rare)	-	-

Source: www.who.int

# Incidence and geographical distribution of brucellosis in India

#### Incidence in apparently normal herds

Many authors have reported a higher incidence of brucellosis in organized farms in India compared to unorganized farms or animals owned by individual farmers. (*Vaid et al.*, 1991; *Mehra et al.*, 2000; *De et al.*, 1982; *Sharma et al.*, 2003; *Rathore et al.*, 2002).

Relating to the incidence within organized farms, those owned by the government have been reported to have a lesser incidence compared to the privately owned ones. (Nawathe & Bhagwat., 1984). The prevalence also was significantly higher in Gaushalas than in organized farms. (Sharma et al., 2007; Subhash Kachhawaha et al., 2005)

Saini et al., 1992 noted that floor space, running space, ventilation, lighting and sanitation were superior in villages where animals did not have brucellosis. Moreover a high proportion of such free animals were also fed individually.

Natural breeding transmits infection in swine and dogs and, to a lesser extent, sheep and goats. But studies in cattle and buffalo herds in India have shown a much higher prevalence (25%) in herds using artificial insemination than in those using natural service (4.3%). (Chatterjee et al., 1985). Rathore et al., 2002, has also hinted at the extensive use of AI as a probable reason for the higher incidence of brucellosis in organized farms.

Halder & Sen., 1990 have reported that conception rate in brucella reactors were 29.5% in the first and second inseminations, 20.4% in the 3<sup>rd</sup>,6% in the 4<sup>th</sup> and 13.6% in the 5<sup>th</sup> insemination. The follow-up studies on the reactors showed that 72.3% calved normally, foetal death occurred in 4.2%, abortion occurred in 4.4%, foetal resorption in 2.2% and 5.5% were sterile.

Bhattacharya et al.,2005, have also reported a high incidence (55%) of brucellosis in aborted cows, followed by repeat breeders (24%) and cases with retention of placenta (20%).

The incidence range of brucellosis has also been reported higher in cattle than in buffaloes, whether in mixed or separate herds. (Rao et al., 1986)

The incidence range of brucellosis reported in various species in apparently normal herds of animals in various regions of India over the last three decades is elaborated in the tables below:

### Incidence in north India

Sl.No	Source	Location			In	cidence	in differ	ent specie	Incidence in different species (%)									
			Cattle	Buffalo	Sheep	Goat	Pig	Camel	Equines	Region								
	North India								1	-8 -								
1	Dwivedi and Mahesh Kumar (2006)	Field	10	12	2	7												
2	Vikrant Jain et al (2006)	Field	8	7	16	7				Uttaranchal								
3	Vaishali Mittal et al (2005)	Field	35	30	10	39				Ottaranenar								
4	Dhand et al (2005)	Field	10	13		0,5				Punjab								
5	Charanjeet et al (2004)	Field	3	3	16	5				HP								
6	Sharma et al (2003)	Tield	-		10				46	HP								
7	Rathore et al (2002)	Field	9	2					10	UP								
8	Grewal et al (2000)	Field			7	5				Punjab								
9	Prahlad et al (1999)	Tield		21	<u>'</u>					Punjab								
10	Singh et al (1998)	Field		21		1				UP and Punjab								
11	Nagal et al(1991)	Field	4			1				HP								
12	Chauhan et al (1986)	Field						1		Rajasthan & Haryana								
13	Kapoor et al (1984)	Field				11				Haryana								
14	Dubey, S. C & Mathur, P.B (1980)	Field	5	3	2	7				Rajasthan								
15	Sharma et al (1979)	Field	6	5	3	6	16			UP & Delhi								
16	Kulshreshtha et al (1978)	Field	9	4	1	2	1			Haryana								
	Incidence range in field (%)		3-35	3-30	2-16	1-39	1-16	1	46	J								
17	Subhash Kachchawaha et al (2005)	Farm	42	26						Rajasthan								
18	Puran Chand & Sharma (2004)	Farm	27							Haryana,MP & UP								
19	Siingh et al (2004)	Farm	15	11						Punjab								
20	Singh et al (2004)	Farm	14							Punjab								
21	Singh et al (1998)	Farm				5												
22	Saini et al(1994)	Farm					17			Punjab & HP								
23	Nagal et al(1991)	Farm	17							HP								
24	Bandey et al (1989)	Farm			3					Kashmir								
25	Bachh et al (1988)	Farm	44							Kashmir								
26	Sinha,B.P & Verma,B.B(1979)	Farm							38	Punjab								
	Incidence range in farms (%)		14-44	11-26	3	5	17		38									
27	Mudit Chandra et al (2005)	Abattoir				1				UP								
28	Prahlad et al (1999)	Abattoir		8.1						Delhi								
29	Singh et al (1998)	Abattoir				7												
30	Prahlad Kumar et al (1997)	Abattoir			50					Punjab								
31	Nagal et al(1991)	Abattoir			15	25				HP								
32	Kapoor et al (1985)	Abattoir /Field				6				Rajasthan								
33	Kapoor et al (1984)	Abattoir				2				Rajasthan								

	Incidence range in abattoir (%)		8	15-50	1-25				
34	Prahlad et al (1999)		11						UP
35	Prahlad Kumar et al (1997)				16				Punjab
36	Prahlad Kumar et al (1997)			33					Rajasthan
37	Prahlad Kumar et al (1997)				30				Rajasthan
38	Prahlad Kumar et al (1997)				29				UP
39	Vaid et al (1991)	2		1					HP
40	Shaw,A.A (1986)	1							Kashmir
	Incidence range in north India(%)	3-44	3-30	2-50	1-39	1-17	1	38-46	

# Incidence in south India

	Review of incidence of bro							
Sl.No	Source	Location		Incidence i	n differen	t species (%	•	Region
			Cattle	Buffalo	Sheep	Goat	Pig	
	South India							
1	Vinod et al (2006)	Field	7					Kerala
2	Sarumathi et al (2003)	Field	13					AP
3	Isloor et al (1998)	Field	1					Karnataka
4	Dessai et al (1995) Fie				5	8		Karnataka
5	Manickam,R & Mohan,M(1987)	Field	10					TN
6	Krishnappa et al (1981)	Field					6	Karnataka
7	Baby,K & Paily,E.P(1979)	Field		2				Kerala
	Incidence range in field (%)		1-13	2	5	8	6	
8	Vinod et al (2006)		6					
9	Isloor et al (1998)	Farm	4					Karnataka
10	Suresh et al (1993)	Farm/Field	9	11				TN
11	Reddy et al (1983)	Farm	0.4					AP
12	Reddy,P.R & Rao,P.V.R (1980)	Farm					7	AP
13	Kumar,R & Rao,C.V.N(1980)	Farm					11	TN
	Incidence range in farms (%)		0.4-9	11			7-11	
14	Mrunalini,N & Ramasastry,P (1999)		4	4	3	7	1	AP
15	Rao et al (1998)					7		AP
16	Sreemannarayana,O(1980)	Abattoir				2		AP
	Incidence range in south India (%)		0.4-13	2-11	3-5	2-8	1-11	

# Incidence in eastern India

Sl.No	Source	Location		Incidence	e in diffe	rent spe	ecies (%)		Region
			Cattle	Buffalo	Sheep	Goat	Pig	Mithun	
	Eastern India								
1	Hussain et al (2000)	Field	44						Assam
2	Chatterjee et al (1986)	Field	4						WB
4	Acharya,B.N & Panda,S.N(1985)	Field			5				Orissa
3	Ghosh,S.S & Verma,P.C(1985)	Field				9			Nagaland
5	De et al (1982)	Field	3						WB
	Incidence range in field (%)		3-44		5	9			
6	Rajkhowa et al (2005)	Farm						34	Nagaland
7	Bhattacharya et al (2005)	Farm	14	10					
8	Chakraborty et al (2000)	Farm	56						Assam
9	Barman et al (1989)	Farm	33						Assam
10	Ghosh,S.S& Nanda,S.K(1988)	Farm/field				7			Tripura
11	Ghosh,S.S& Nanda,S.K(1987)	Farm	18						Tripura
12	Chatterjee et	Farm	21	19					WB
14	al(1985)		4						
13	De et al (1982)	Farm	17						WB
14	Maiti et al (1980)	Farm	36						Nagalano
	Incidence range in farms (%)		4-56	10-19		7		34	
	Others								
15	Rahman et al (1996)		11						Assam
16	Rahman et al (1996)		14					15	Arunacha pradesh
17	Ahmed et al(1989)		11						Assam
18	Murti et al (1986)		3						Manipur
19	Murti et al (1986)		27						Nagalano
20	Rahman et al (1984)	Abattoir					10		Assam
21	Choudhary et al (1983)	Abattoir					5		Jharkhan
22	Mishra,K.C (1982)		7						Sikkim
	Incidence range in east India (%)		3-56	10-19	5	7-9	5-10	15-34	

# Incidence in western and other regions and, country as a whole

Sl.No	Source	Location		Incidence in different species (%)								
			Cattle	Buffalo	Sheep	Goat	Pig	Camel	Mithun	Equines	Region	
	Western India				-					-		
1	Varasada et al (2007)	Field	4	0.5							Gujarat	
2	Sharma et al (2006)	Field			15	5					Gujarat	
3	Chouhan et al (2005)	Field						7			Gujarat	
4	Kuralkar et al (2006)	Farm	2								Maharastra	
5	Raju et al (2004)	Farm			10	23					Maharastra	
6	Nawathe,D.R & Bhagawat,S.S (1984)	Farm	10								Maharastra	
			10	11							MP	
7	Mehra et al	Organis	13									
7	(2000)	ed farm	2									
			3									
			2	9							MP	
0	Mehra et al	Unorgan										
8	(2000)	ised farm										
	Incidence range in western India (%)		2-13	0.5-11	10-15	5-23		7				
	Other regions											
9	Jai Sunder et al ( 2005)	Field	14			12						
10	Maqsood et al (1990)			4								
11	Savalgi et al (1987)	Farm	12	2								
12	Tongaonkar et al (1986)		6	4								
13	Rao et al (1986)	Farm	6	1								
14	Babu et al (1985)	Farm	1	0.3								
15	Sikdar,A& Rao,J.R(1985)		31	8							Andamans	
	(1500)	1	26									
16	Bala,A.K &	Field	29						1			
16	Sidhu,N.S (1982)		17									
				3								
	Incidence range in other areas (%)		1-31	0.3-8		12						
	Incidence range in the country (%)		0.4-56	0.3-30	2-50	1-39	1-17	1-7	15-34	38-42		

# Region-wise comparison of brucellosis incidence in cattle and buffaloes in normal herds

While critically reviewing the incidence of brucellosis in apparently normal herds of cattle and buffaloes in various regions of the country from the literature available for the past three decades, it becomes obvious from the tables that, with respect to cattle, the incidence range is highest in eastern India (3-56%), followed by north (3-44%), west (2-13%) and the south (0.4-13%).

Similar observations can be made for buffaloes, with the incidence range of brucellosis being highest in the north (3-30%). The eastern region follows with an incidence range of 10-19%. The incidence range in the western and southern regions is almost comparable with 0.5-11% and 2-11% respectively.

To summarize, the incidence range of brucellosis in the country in apparently normal herds of cattle and buffaloes is recorded at 0.4-56% and 0.3-30% respectively. This does not include reports on herds with abortions and other symptoms of brucellosis which have been analyzed separately.

Published reports on brucellosis incidence in cattle and/or buffaloes were not available for Bihar, Chattisgarh, Orissa, Meghalaya and Mizoram.

# Field vis-à-vis farm incidence of brucellosis in cattle and buffaloes in normal herds

While comparing the incidence range of brucellosis in cattle between field and farms (both organized and unorganized), it is clear from the tables that the greatest variation is in the east with incidence range in the field at 3-44% in field and 4-56% in farms. In the north the incidence range in field is at 3-35% and farms, 14-44%. The incidence range in farms in western India is between 2-13% with the field having an incidence of 4% (only from one report). On the contrary, as regards the south, the incidence range in the field is higher (1-13%) than the incidence recorded in farms (0.4-9%).

The published reports on incidence of brucellosis in buffaloes both in the field and farms are not adequate enough to make a comparison for all the regions. In the north, the incidence range of 3-30% and 11-26% respectively in the field and farm is recorded. Reports on

incidence available from other regions either in field or farm are very few to draw an inference but the values are invariably higher in farms.

# Incidence in herds with history of abortion and other symptoms of brucellosis

A review of incidence of brucellosis in herds that exhibited symptoms like abortions, retention of placenta, still birth, mastitis, joint swelling, repeat breeding etc over the last three decades is given in the table below:

	Review of incidence of brucellosis in herds with abortions and other symptoms of brucellosis									
S1.No	Source	Location	Inciden	ce in differe	ent specie	es (%)			Region	Remarks
			Cattle	Buffalo	Sheep	Goat	Mithun	Equines		
1	Jaianandh et al (2006)	Field	11							Abortion,repeaters, ROP, pyrexia , arthritis & hygroma
2	Rathore et al (2002)	Field	40						UP	Aborted foetus culture
3	Rajeswari et al (1999)	Field	75						Andamans	3 out of 4 samples from aborted cattle was positive
4	Jeyaprakash et al (1999)	Field	15						Tamil nadu	
5	Das,A.M & Paranjape,V.L (1987)	Field		24					Maharastra	
	Incidence range in field (%)		11-75	24						
1	Anuradha and Ganesh (2006)	Farm	18							Abortion,ROP,repeatbreed ing,metritis,hygroma & arthritis.
2	Gupta et al (2005)	Farm				82				Abortion
3	Bhattacharya et al (2005)	Farm	55							In aborted cows
4	Rajkhowa et al (2005)	Farm					34		Nagaland	
5	Barbuddhe et al (2004)	Farm	40						Goa	Abortion
6	Mrunalini et al (2000)	Farm				55			Andhra	
7	Chakraborty et al (2000)	Farm	68						Assam	
8	Isloor et al (1998)	Farm	17						Karnataka	
9	Das et al (1990)	Farm	38	14					Maharastra	
10	Barman et al (1989)	Farm	45						Assam	ROP,Abortion,mastitis,joi nt swelling and repeaters
11	Barman et al (1989)	Farm	60						Assam	Aborted cows
12	Barman et al (1989)	Farm	39						Assam	Repeaters
13	De et al (1989)	Farm	21							
14	Bachh et al (1988)	Farm	78						Kashmir	Average of reactors that aborted and repeated
15	Kulshreshtha et al (1983)	Farm			74				Haryana	Abortion& still birth
16	Oberaoi,M.S& Kwatra,M.S (1982)	Farm	4	9					Punjab	
17	Sodhi et al (1980)	Farm	15	19					Punjab	
	Incidence range in farms (%)		17-78	9-24	74	55-82	34			
18	Srivastava et al (1983)							40		
	Country average		11-78	9-24	74	55-82	34	40		

A review of brucellosis in herds, both in the field and farms that exhibited symptoms like abortions, retention of placenta, still birth, mastitis, joint swelling, repeat breeding etc over the last three decades shows that the incidence range of brucellosis in cattle where abortions and other symptoms of brucellosis were seen is significantly higher (11-78%) than in buffaloes, which is 9-24%.

## Other reports on brucellosis incidence

As per the annual reports of the Project Directorate on Animal Disease Monitoring and Surveillance (PD\_ADMAS) the sero-prevalence of brucellosis has risen from 8.46% in 1994-2004 (10 years cumulative), to 20.89% in 2004-05 and 22.2% in 2005-06.

A country-wide study covering 23 States of India has also estimated the overall incidence of brucellosis at 1.9% in cattle and 1.8% in buffaloes. (*Isloor et al.*, 1998)

In a similar study of equines covering 10 states and 2 union territories, the incidence of brucellosis was reported at 7.1% in Mules, 3.6% in donkeys and, 8.5% in horses, giving an overall average incidence of 6.4% in equines. (*Yadav et al.*, 1992)

Manickam & Mohan.,1987, reported 6.34% of 331 DCS in Coimbatore district, Tamil nadu, to be positive for brucellosis when bulk milk samples were screened by milk ring test (MRT). In another study, Harikumar et al.,2005, reported 14 out of 560 DCS (3.64%) in Ernakulam milk shed and 94 out of 463 DCS (19.01%) in Trivandrum milk shed, Kerala, to be positive on MRT of bulk milk samples.

Saini et al.,1992, reported 14 out of 37 villages (37.8%) to be positive for brucellosis on screening 5398 cattle and 1733 buffaloes by Rose Bengal Test (RBT) and Standard tube Agglutination Test (STAT) from 6467 households in Punjab.

Gumber et al., 2004, have also reported a village level prevalence rate of 22.5% by milk ELISA of bulk milk samples from villages in four districts of Punjab.

The individual screening tests used earlier were the Rose Bengal Test (RBT) and Standard Tube Agglutination test (STAT). These tests have been mostly replaced by the more sensitive and specific Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) in the recent years.

#### Human brucellosis

Cattle, sheep, goats and pigs are the main sources of infection for humans. Transmission to humans occurs through occupational or environmental contact with infected animals or their products. Cheese made from raw milk and unpasteurised milk are the main sources of foodborne transmission. It can also be a travel associated disease. Person to person transmission is extremely rare.

*B.melitensis* infection is most frequently reported and causes severe disease in humans. *B.suis* has a much more restricted occurrence but can be as severe as the first. Though *B.abortus* is the most widespread cause of infection, the severity is much less than the disease caused by *B.melitensis* or *B.suis*.

Brucellosis exists in the general population in India and high clinical suspicion must be made in patients especially when there is history of animal contact or consumption of unpasteurized milk. (Gokhale et al., 2003)

The disease is acute in about half the cases, with an incubation period of 2-3 weeks. In the other half, the onset is insidious, with symptoms developing over a period of weeks to months from the infection.

#### Incidence of human brucellosis in India

Human brucellosis is a significant public health problem in India, the magnitude of which is not known. Paucity of clinico-epidemiologic data hampers control strategies. Persistence of animal reservoir, low physician awareness, poor availability of diagnostic facilities, and the non existence of regional data bases contribute towards the perpetuation of zoonosis in India. (*Handa et al., 1998*)

In India, fewer than 10% of the human cases of brucellosis may be clinically recognized and treated or reported. Screening of family members of index cases of brucellosis in an endemic area will help to pick up additional unrecognized cases. (Mantur et al., 2007)

In an outbreak of brucellosis reported in Kanvari village, Churu district, Rajasthan, 91.6% of 48 persons presented were positive by RBPT. (*Kalla et al.*, 2001)

The reports of incidence of human brucellosis in India are elaborated in the table below:

		Review	of incid	ence of bru	cellosis in va	arious huma	n populatio	ns in India		
S1. No	Source	Vets	Para vets	Abattoir workers	Patients with FUO	Patients with fever and other sympto ms	Occupat ionally exposed	General pop	Region	Remarks
1	Mrunalini et al ( 2004)	25	23	7	12		45		AP	Farmers, shephe rds and occupationally exposed.
2	Hussain et al(2004)						7		Assam	Farmers & attendant
3	Mishra et al (2003)							0.5	Bihar	
4	Kumar et al (1997)	29							Delhi	
				69						Animal Handlers
				68						Butchers
				57						Sweepers
5	Handa et al (1998)						24		North India	
6	Kadri et al (2000)				1				Kashmir	
7	Kalla et al (2000)					92			Rajasthan	Acute polyarthritis
8	Mudaliar et al (2003)						5		Maharastr a	
9	Thakur,S.D & Thapliyal,D.C (2002)	17						4		
10	Ajay Kumar,V.J & Nanu,E (2005)						1	2	Kerala	
11	Hussain et al (2000)					7			Assam	Persons involved in animal husbandry
12	Mohanty et al (2000)					7			Orissa	Vets and paravets
13	Mrunalini.N & Ramasastry,P(1999)						16		AP	Vets, abattoir workers and farmers
14	Dessai et al (1995)						6		Karnataka	Vets, paravets and shepherds
15	Sharma et al (1979)							1	UP & Delhi	and shepherds
16	Kulshreshtha et al (1978)						23		Haryana	Animal attendants
17	Savalgi et al (1987)				1		20			Farm staff
18	Kapoor et al (1985)							3	Rajasthan	
19	Rana et al (1985)	28	51			İ			Delhi	
	, ,	40								Asst vet surgeons
20	Agasthya et al (2007)	12	41						Karnataka	
		-	31		+		-		1	Vet Inspectors
					1		-		-	Vet assistants
			6		1		6		-	Vet supervisors
							6			Group D workers
					1		2			Shepherds
	Incidence range (%)	12-40	6-51	1 1-69	1-12	7-92	1-45	0.5-4		Butchers
	5							1	1	1

<sup>\* -</sup> Fever of unknown origin

# Symptoms of human brucellosis reported in India

Brucellosis may often be unsuspected because of its varied clinical manifestations and may be a more important cause of fever than previously considered (*Mathai et al.*, 1996). There are about 27 symptoms affecting almost all the systems that have been noticed in patients with brucellosis. However, at times, seropositive patients may remain asymptomatic. (*Handa et al.*,1998)

The various symptoms of human brucellosis reported in India have been summarized in the table below:

Vario	ous manifestations of brucellosis in humans	reported in India
S.No	Symptoms	Source
1	Neurobrucellosis:	Kochar et al.,
	(a) Meningoencephalitis	2000.
	(b) Myelitis leading to spastic paraparesis	
	(c) Polyradiculoneuropathy@	
	(d) Polyneuroradiculomyeloencephalopathy.	
2	(a) Acute polyarthritis	Kalla et al., 2001.
	(b) Low grade fever of 1-2 weeks.	
3	(a) Spondylitis	Gokhale et al.,
	(b) Sacroiliitis	2003.
4	(a) Persistent fever	Mantur et al.,
	(b) Joint pain (mainly knee)	2004.
	(c) Back ache	
	(d) Involuntary movements of limbs.	
	(e) Burning sensation of feet.	
	(f) Pityriasis alba \$	
	(g) <b>Neurobrucellosis</b> : Chorea#, peripheral	
	neuritis & meningitis	
	(h) Skin lesions	
	(i) Carditis	
5	(a) Arthritis	Mudaliar et al.,
	(b) Abortion	2003.
	(c) Genito- urinary infection	
6	Persistent fever	Deepak et al.,
		2003.
7	Pneumonia	Singh et al.,
		2005.
8	Endocarditis	Purwar et al.,
		2006.

<sup>@ -</sup> Radiculoneuropathy is not a specific condition, but rather a description of a problem in which one or more nerves (polyradiculoneuropathy) are affected and do not work properly. The nerve or nerves may be inflamed, "pinched," lack blood flow, or may be affected

by a disease that is destroying it in part or totally. This can result in pain, weakness, numbness, or difficulty in controlling specific muscles.

- \$ Pityriasis alba is a common skin condition mostly occurring in children and usually seen as dry, fine scaled, pale patches on their faces.
- #- Chorea is an abnormal involuntary movement disorder causing quick movements of the feet or hands.

The cure rate of brucellosis is very high if diagnosed properly and the prescribed treatment regimen administered. (*Kochar et al., 2000*)

#### Brucellosis control in some countries

Dedicated disease control programmes have controlled the disease in most of Europe, Australia, New Zealand, Canada, Israel, Jordan and Latin America. The disease control scenarios in some countries are described in brief below:

#### **Poland**

National eradication programme of bovine brucellosis in Poland reduced the prevalence of the disease below 0.5%. (Seroka and Seroka., 1993)

#### Greece

In Greece, vaccination of young animals (3-6 month old) sheep and goats with Rev 1 vaccine for 15 years decreased abortions in both sheep and goats as well as reduced the incidence in humans. After vaccinations were stopped in 1994, the prevalence in animals and humans quickly increased. The human incidence decreased after an emergency mass vaccination programme was taken up for young and adult animals with Rev 1 in 1998. It was also observed that the decrease in human incidence was not linear but decreased only when vaccination coverage of animals was above 30%. (*Minas et al.*, 2004).

#### Czech Republic

Kouba., 2003, described a depopulation policy applied on farms and ranches affected with brucellosis in the Czech Republic with a view of totally eradicating the disease by a fixed deadline wherein breeding on diseased farms and ranches were temporarily discontinued and infected herds were replaced by healthy cattle from brucellosis free regions. Adopting such a method, eradication was achieved within a span of 5 years, without reducing the cattle population, rate of cattle production, or the income of farmers.

#### Malaysia

Palaniswamy et al have reported that after embarking on a National Brucellosis Control and Eradication Programme (NBCEP) in 1978, the national reactor rate in cattle for brucellosis in Malaysia decreased from 8.7% in 1980 to 0.43% in 1993. The reactor rate again increased dramatically in 1998 in cattle raised in plantations after this farming system (integration of cattle with oil palm plantations) gained popularity. Exploring the reasons for such a dramatic increase in brucellosis incidence, they had made some important observations:

- 1. The rapidly increasing demand for cattle was met by influx from other areas/ countries (eg.Thailand) where brucellosis was endemic.
- 2. Infected animals have slipped into the country before enforcement of the disease control protocols.
- 3. Cattle that are 'incubating' and latent carriers are overlooked in the control protocols.
- 4. Since NBCEP was progressing well in government and small holder level, vaccination was not encouraged nor permitted and this facilitated rapid spread.
- 5. Delayed culling of reactors contributed significantly to high infection rates.

The recommendations given by them to control the disease were as follows:

- 1. Individual animals to be identified.
- 2. Testing for brucellosis to be made compulsory and a responsibility of the owner.
- 3. All free herds /farms should maintain their status through annual testing.
- 4. Infected herds should be imposed with movement restrictions in addition to strict disease control procedures as outlined for infected herds.
- 5. Strong legislation to be formulated and enforced.
- 6. A test and slaughter strategy alone would not be able to contain the disease as the rate of disease transmission is much higher than the rate of removal of infected cattle since susceptible animals are continuously challenged through latent carriers.
- 7. Vaccination is therefore necessary to protect susceptible cattle.
- 8. A market cattle testing system to be formulated.
- 9. All cattle and buffaloes sent for slaughter need to be identified with the specific farm/herd of origin.
- 10. Sufficient compensation funds must be made available to support the whole programme.

#### United Kingdom (UK)

Free calf vaccination in the UK started in 1962 followed by a compulsory eradication programme which commenced in 1971. The disease was controlled by 1981 after which calfhood vaccination was stopped. There are very few cases reported since then.

The following components of brucellosis surveillance continue to be vital and have proved effective in identifying suspected and confirmed brucellosis incursions:

- Import controls and certification.
- Exporting country alerts of brucellosis breakdowns in exporting herds.
- Post-import testing.
- Post-calving testing.
- Abortion reporting and investigations.

The national brucellosis surveillance programme in the UK presently comprises of monthly bulk milk testing of all dairy herds and blood testing of beef breeding herds every two years.

During 2005, bulk milk testing was carried out on 16,862 dairy herds and herd blood testing was carried out on 30,485 beef-breeding herds (approximately 1 million blood samples from beef breeding cattle are collected and tested each year – there are nearly four times as many beef breeding herds as dairy herds). The number of abortion investigations carried out was 7,968. (www.defra.gov.uk)

#### United States of America (USA)

Brucellosis once affected more than 10 percent of the cattle herds in the USA. An investment of 3.5 billion USD has reduced the brucellosis infected herds from 1,24,000 in 1957 to 40 in 1996. (*Richey and Harrell.*, 1997)

#### India

There is no organized and effective control programme in India though population surveys of the disease have been taken up in many States. (*Renukaradhya et al.*, 2002). In the event of a disease control programme being formulated, the following need to be kept in view while strategizing:

- Individual animals to be identified.
- Strong legislation to be formulated and enforced.
- Brucellosis testing to be made compulsory and a responsibility of the owner.
- All free herds /farms should maintain their status through periodic testing.
- Infected herds should be imposed with movement restrictions in addition to strict disease control procedures as outlined for infected herds.
- Vaccination is necessary to protect susceptible cattle as the rate of disease transmission is usually much higher than the rate of removal of infected cattle since susceptible animals are continuously challenged through latent carriers.
- A market cattle testing system to be formulated.
- Delayed culling of reactors could contribute significantly to high infection rates.
- All animals sent for slaughter need to be identified with the specific farm/herd of origin.
- Sufficient compensation funds must be made available to support the whole programme.

# Office International des Epizooties (OIE) criteria

## For a country or zone to be free from bovine brucellosis

- Bovine brucellosis or any suspicion thereof is notifiable.
- The entire cattle population is under official veterinary control and the rate of brucella infection has been ascertained not to exceed 0.2% of the cattle herds.
- The serological tests for bovine brucellosis are periodically conducted in each herd, with or without the ring test.
- No animal has been vaccinated against bovine brucellosis for at least the past 3 years.
- All reactors are slaughtered.
- Animals introduced come from herds officially free / free from bovine brucellosis or animals which have not been vaccinated and which, prior to entry were isolated and subjected to serological tests with negative results on two occasions, with an interval of 30 days between each test.

## For a herd to be officially free from bovine brucellosis

- It is under official veterinary control.
- Contains no animal which has been vaccinated against bovine brucellosis for at least the past 3 years.
- It only contains animals which have not shown evidence of brucellosis during the past six months and all suspect cases have been subjected to necessary laboratory investigations.
- All cattle over one year of age are subjected to serological tests with negative results on two occasions, at an interval of 12 months between each test.
- Animals introduced come from herds officially free from bovine brucellosis or animals which have not been vaccinated and which showed negative results to serological tests 30 days prior to entry into the herd.

#### For a herd to be free from bovine brucellosis

- It is under official veterinary control.
- It is subjected to either vaccination or non-vaccination regime.
- Vaccination must be carried out in female cattle between 3 and 6 months of age and must be identified with a permanent mark.

- All cattle over one year of age are subjected to serological tests with negative results on two occasions, at an interval of 12 months between each test.
- Animals introduced come from herd/country/zone officially free
  / free from bovine brucellosis or animals which prior to entry
  into the herd were isolated and subjected to serological tests
  with negative results on two occasions, with an interval of 30
  days between each test. (Source: www.oie.int)

#### NDDB initiatives in brucellosis control

#### Milk ring test (MRT) popularisation

NDDB continues to facilitate many cooperative milk unions to carry out milk ring test (MRT) of bulk milk samples from their village milk cooperative societies in order to identify infected villages.

MRT of bulk milk samples from 560 milk societies in Ernakulam Milk Union and 463 milk societies in Trivandrum Milk Union identified 14 (3.64%) and 94 (19.01%) milk societies respectively as positive for brucella infection. (*Hari Kumar et al.*, 2005). This information was then shared with the Kerala State Animal Husbandry Department which then carried out a State-wide screening of milk samples by MRT. All technical assistance for preparation of the project for the State-wide screening and training of around 50 veterinarians and an equal number of paraveterinary staff working in laboratories was provided by NDDB.

# Brucella Strain 19 (S 19) vaccine popularisation

NDDB continues to facilitate the dairy cooperatives to carry out vaccination of female calves between 4-8 months of age with 'Bruvax', a freeze dried S 19 vaccine manufactured by IIL. Cooperative milk unions all over India reported vaccination of 8957 female calves with S 19 vaccine in 2004-05 which increased significantly to 61483 during 2005-06 and 125161 in 2006-07.

# Brucella Strain RB 51 vaccine experimentation

Strain RB 51 vaccine can be used without inducing antibody titres that interfere with serodiagnosis and is reported to give 100% protection against field strain *B.abortus* induced abortion in cattle which have been vaccinated at least 1 year before mating to an infected bull. Vaccination with S19 under similar conditions was less

effective than with RB 51 (Lord et al.,1998). Vaccination with a reduced dose of RB 51 also protects adults against abortion or infection caused by exposure to virulent B.abortus during subsequent pregnancy. (Olsen C.,2000). This vaccine has also been approved by Office International des Epizooties (OIE) as a substitute for S 19 vaccine. It is also less hazardous to humans than S 19 vaccine if accidental inoculation occurs. NDDB is in the process of testing the efficacy of RB 51 vaccine in the field.

# Tests /reagents available for diagnosis of brucellosis in India

Sl. no	Test/Antigen	Available at						
1	Rose Bengal Test (RBT)	1. Indian Veterinary Research Institute						
	antigen	(IVRI), Izatnagar, UP.						
		2. Some State Institutes of Animal						
		Health and Veterinary Biologicals						
		(IAH & VB)						
2	Milk Ring Test	-Do-						
	(MRT)antigen							
3	Serum Agglutination	-Do-						
	Test (SAT) antigen							
4	Serum ELISA kits	Project Directorate on Animal Disease						
		Monitoring and Surveillance (PD_ADMAS),						
		Bangalore.						
5	Milk ELISA Kits	-Do-						
6	Serum ELISA testing	(1) Centre for Animal Disease Research						
		and Diagnosis (CADRAD), Izatnagar, UP.						
		(2) State Regional Disease Diagnostic						
		Laboratories (RDDL)						
		(3) Indian Immunologicals Limited (IIL),						
		Hyderabad. (Uses imported kits)						
7	PCR testing	(1) IIL						
	_	(2) PD_ADMAS						

Note: List is not comprehensive.

## **Economics of control**

Reports are few on the economic losses of brucellosis in India. Some reports on the same for other countries where control programmes have been successfully implemented are available.

Kouba., 2003 had estimated the annual losses due to brucellosis in the Czech republic at around 20 million USD. Around 32.4% of the veterinarians also tested positive. The cumulative benefit /eradication ratio was estimated to be 7:1, 10 years after eradication of the

disease. He also mentioned that by the year 2000, eradication had averted losses of approximately 700 million USD and saved more than 2000 people from becoming infected.

In another scenario of 52% reduction of brucella transmission achieved by mass vaccination, the intervention costs were estimated at 8.3 million USD and overall benefit was 26.6 million USD giving an average benefit-cost of 3.2 for the control programme. (Roth et al., 2003)

For the brucellosis control programme implemented in Mongolia, *Roth et al.*, 2001, estimated the benefit cost ratio for the society as a whole at 4.14, for the health sector at 7.56 and agricultural sector at 3.72.

Richey and Harrell., 1997 have reported that losses in the USA would have been in excess of 800 million USD annually due to reduced supplies of meat and milk if a brucellosis control programme was not in place.

#### References

- 1. Acharya BN, Panda SN. Role of blocking antibody and Coombs antiglobulin tests in the detection of brucellosis in sheep. *Indian J Anim Health*. 1985;24(2):123-6.
- 2. Agasthya AS, Isloor S, Prabhudas K. Brucellosis in high risk group individuals. *Indian J Med Microbiol*. 2007 Jan;25(1):28-31.
- 3. Ahmed K, Borgohain BN, Saikia G, Bhuyan D. Incidence of brucellosis in breeding bulls. *Indian J Anim Reprod*. 1989;10(1):68.
- 4. Ajay Kumar VJ, Nanu E. Sero-positivity of brucellosis in human beings. *Indian J Med Microbiol.* 2005 Jan-Mar;49(1):22-4.
- 5. Al Dahouk S, Tomaso H, Nöckler K, Neubauer H, Frangoulidis D. Laboratory-based diagnosis of brucellosis- a review of the literature. Part II: serological tests fro brucellosis. *Clin Lab*. 2003;49(11-12):577-89.
- 6. Anuradha P, Ganesan PI. Use of RBT and C-ELISA in the diagnosis of brucellosis in cattle. *Indian Vet J.* 2006; 83(9): 999-1000.

- 7. Babu YH, Sudhakar R, Srinivas CS, Khan MA. Seroprevalence of brucellosis in pure breeds in organized farms. *Indian Vet J*. 1985;62(2):175-6.
- 8. Baby K, Paily EP. Seroepizootology of brucellosis in buffaloes in Kerala. *Kerala J Vet Sci.* 1979;10(2):187-92.
- 9. Bachh AS, Nowsheri MA, Rashid A, Rajna AK, Wani S. Seroprevalence of brucellosis in exotic cattle in Kashmir. *Indian J Comp Microbiol Immunol Infect Dis.* 1988;9(1):23-7.
- 10. Bala AK, Sidhu NS. Studies on disease resistance vis-à-vis susceptibility in farm animals. III. Genetic group difference for the incidence of brucellosis in cattle. *Indian J Anim Health*. 1982;21(1):61-4.
- 11. Bandey SD, Parvez S, Bandey S. Sero-epidemiological studies on brucellosis in exotic sheep in Kashmir valley. *Indian J Anim Sci.* 1989;59(2):213-15.
- 12. Barbuddhe SB, Chakurkar EB, Bale MA, Sundaram RNS, Bansode RB. Prevalence of brucellosis in organized dairy farms in Goa region. *Indian J Ani Sci.* 2004; 74(10): 1030-1031.
- 13. Barman NN, Ahmed K, Saikia GK, Boro BR. Seroprevalence of brucellosis in organized cattle farms of Assam(India). *Indian J Anim Health*. 1989;28(2):99-102.
- 14. Bhattacharya DK, Ahmed K, Rahman H. Studies on sero prevalence of bovine brucellosis by different tests. *J Vet Public Health*. 2005; 3(2): 131-133
- 15. Chakraborty M, Patgiri GP, Sarma DK. Use of rose Bengal plate test, serum agglutination test and indirect ELISA for detecting brucellosis in bovines. *Indian J Comp Microbiol Immunol Infect Dis.* 2000;21(1):24-5.
- Charanjeet, Sharma M, Katoch RC, Dhar P, Kumar R. Application of RBPT, SAT and AVIDIN-BIOTIN serum ELISA for detecting brucellosis among livestock in Himachal Pradesh. *Indian J Comp Microbiol Immunol Inf Dis.* 2004; 25(1): 15-18.
- 17. Chatterjee A, De BN, Bidyanta J, Chakraborty M, Mondal P, Sen GP. Sero-epidemiological studies on bovine brucellosis in

- organized herds in west Bengal. *Indian J Comp Microbiol Immunol Infect Dis.* 1985;55(4):249-52.
- 18. Chatterjee A, De BN, Mondal P, Bidyanta J, Chakraborty MK, Nandy AK, Sen GP. Studies on sero-prevalence of bovine brucellosis in rural West Bengal. *Indian Vet J.* 1986;63(2):95-100.
- 19. Chauhan RS, Kaushik RK, Gupta SC, Satija KC, Kulshreshtha RC. Prevalence of different diseases in Camels (Camelus dromedaries) in India. Camel Newsletter. 1986;3:10-14.
- 20. Choudhary SP, Singh SN, Narayan KG, Kalimuddin, M. Seroprevalence of porcine brucellosis in Bihar. *Indian Vet J.* 1983;60(5):336-8.
- 21. Chouhan HC, Chandel BS, Vasava KA, Pawar DW, Patel AR, Shah NM, Kher HN. Seroprevalence of brucellosis in dromedaries in Gujarat. *J Camel Practice Res.* 2005; 12(2): 133-4.
- 22. Corbel MC. Brucellosis in humans and animals. 2006. World Health Organization. Geneva, Switzerland. Wesite: www.who.int
- 23. Das AM, Paranjape VL. Abortion in dairy buffaloes in Bombaya bacteriological survey. *Indian Vet J.* 1987;64(12):997-1001.
- 24. Das VM, Paranjape VL, Corbel MJ. Investigation of brucellosis associated abortion in dairy buffaloes and cows in Bombay. *Indian J Anim Sci.* 1990;60(10):1193-4.
- 25. De BN, Chatterjee A, Bidyanta J, Chakroborty M, Deb SK, Mondal P, Sen GP. Note on the problems of breeding cows with special reference to common coital infections. *Indian J Anim Sci.* 1982;52(8):700-2.
- 26. De BN, Chatterjee A, Sen GP, Biswas G. Investigation of an outbreak of bovine abortion in a large organized dairy farm. *Indian Vet J.* 1989;66(4):4.
- 27. Deepak S, Bronson SG, Sibi, Joseph W, Thomas M. Brucella isolated from bone marrow. *J Assoc Physicians India*.2003 Jul;51:717-8.

- 28. Department for Environment, Food and Rural affairs. United Kingdom. website: www.defra.gov.uk.
- 29. Dessai T, Krishnappa G, Upadhye AS. Incidence of brucellosis in sheep, goats and some human risk group. *Mysore J Agricult Sci.* 1995;29(4):348-51.
- 30. Dhand NK, Gumber S, Singh BB, Aradhana, Bali MS, Kumar H, Sharma DR, Singh J, Sandhu KS. A study on the epidemiology of brucellosis in Punjab (India) using survey toolbox. *Rev Sci Tech.* 2005 Dec;24(3):879-85.
- 31. Dubey SC, Mathur PB. Incidence of brucellosis as detected by milk ring test in livestock of semi arid Rajasthan. *Indian Vet Med J.* 1980;4(4):187-8.
- 32. Dwivedi HP, Mahesh Kumar. Sero-epidemiological study of brucellosis in ruminants of sub Himalayan Kumaon region. *Indian J Vet Med.* 2006; 26(1): 54-57.
- 33. Ghosh SS, Nanda SK. Serological survey of brucellosis in organized cattle farms in Tripura. *Indian J Anim Res.* 1987;21(2):102-6.
- 34. Ghosh SS, Nanda SK. Seroprevalence of brucellosis among goats in Tripura. *Indian Vet J.* 1988;65(1):9-12.
- 35. Ghosh SS, Verma PC. Incidence of brucellosis in sheep and goat in Nagaland. *Indian Vet J.* 1985;62(4):339-40.
- 36. Godfroid J, Cloeckaert A, Liautard JP, Kohler S, Fretin D, Walravens K, Garin-Bastuji B, Letesson JJ. From the discovery of the Malta fever's agent to the discovery of a marine mammal reservoir, brucellosis has continuously been a re-emerging zoonosis. *Vet Res.* 2005 May-Jun;36(3):313-26.
- 37. Gokhale YA, Ambardekar AG, Bhasin A, Patil M, Tillu A, Kamath J. Brucella spondylitis and sacroiliitis in the general population in Mumbai. *J Assoc Physicians India*. 2003 Jul;51:659-66.
- 38. Grewal SS, Satinder Kaur, Kaur S. Survey of sheep and goat brucellosis in Sangrur district (Punjab,India). *J Parasitol Appl Anim Biol.* 2000;9(2):67-74.

- 39. Gumber S, Aradhana, Dhand NK, Sandhu KS. Village-level study of bovine brucellosis in Punjab (India) by bulk milk analysis. *Indian J Ani Sci.* 2004; 74(8): 843-844.
- 40. Gupta VK, Verma DK, Rout PK, Vihan VS. Detection of Brucella melitensis in goats' milk by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). *Indian J Ani Sci.* 2005; 75(10): 1163-1164
- 41. Halder SK, Sen GP. Fertility and reproductive performance of brucella sero-positive cows. *Indian Vet J.* 1990;67(3):278-9.
- 42. Handa R, Singh S, Singh N, Wali JP. Brucellosis in north India: results of a prospective study. *J Commun Dis*.1998 Jun;30(2):85-7.
- 43. Hari Kumar AV, George Thomas, Venugopal VS. Study of incidence of brucellosis in dairy cooperative societies (DCS) in Ernakulam and Trivandrum milk sheds using Milk Ring Test (MRT). Souvenir of National Symposium on Emerging Trends in Dairy Processing Systems and its Impact on Quality Attainment. Kerala Agricultural University. 2005: 74-5.
- 44. Hussain SA, Rahman H, Pal D, Ahmed K. Sero-prevalence of bovine and human brucellosis in Assam. *Indian J Comp Microbiol Immunol Infect Dis.* 2000;21(2):165-6.
- 45. Hussain SA, Rahman H, Pal D. Brucellosis in occupationally exposed persons. *J Vet Public Health*. 2004; 2(1/2): 73-74.
- 46. Isloor S, Renukaradhya GJ, Rajasekhar M. A serological survey of bovine brucellosis in India. *Rev Sci Tech.* 1998 Dec;17(3):781-5.
- 47. Jaianandh M, Ganesan PI, Jayakumar R, Senthilkumar TMA. Bovine brucellosis in certain districts of Tamil Nadu. *Indian Vet J.* 2006; 83(9): 1025-1026
- 48. Jai Sunder, Rai RB, Kundu A, Chatterjee RN, Senani S, Jeyakumar S. Incidence and prevalence of livestock diseases of Andaman and Nicobar Islands. *Indian J Ani Sci.* 2005; 75(9): 1041-1043.
- 49. Jeyaprakash C, Ranjitsingh AJA, Amuthan A. Isolation of Brucella spp. from indigenous and cross-bred cows and evaluation of their antibiogram. *Indian J Anim Res.* 1999;33(2):99-103.

- 50. Kadri SM, Rukhsana A, Laharwal MA, Tanvir M. Seroprevalence of brucellosis in Kashmir(India) among patients with pyrexia of unknown origin. *J Indian Med Assoc.* 2000 Apr;98(4):170-1.
- 51. Kalla A, Chadda VS, LA Gauri, Gupta A, Jain S,Gupta BK, Chaddha S, Nayak KC, Singh VB, Kumhar MR. Outbreak of polyarthritis with pyrexia in western Rajasthan. *J Assoc Physicians India*. 2001 Oct;49:963-5.
- 52. Kapoor PK, Sharma SN, Rao KL. Seroprevalence of brucellosis in goats and human beings in Bikaner (Rajasthan). *Indian J Med Microbiol.* 1984;28(2):60.
- 53. Kapoor PK, Sharma SN, Rao KL. Seroprevalence of brucellosis in goats and human beings in Bikaner (Rajasthan). *Indian J Comp Microbiol Immunol Infect Dis.* 1985;6(2-3):96-101.
- 54. Kochar DK, Agarwal N, Jain N, Sharma BV, Rastogi A, Meena CB. Clinical profile of neurobrucellosis- a report on 12 cases from Bikaner (north-west India). *J Assoc Physicians India*. 2000 Apr;48(4):375.
- 55. Kouba V. A method of accelerated eradication of bovine brucellosis in the Czech Republic. *Rev Sci Tech.* 2003 Dec;22(3):1003-12.
- 56. Krishnappa G, Zaki S, Keshavamurthy BS. Serological evidence of swine brucellosis in and around Bangalore. *Mysore J Agricult Sci.* 1981;15(1):77-80.
- 57. Kulshreshtha RC, Jagjit Singh, Vasudevan B, Chandiramani NK. *Indian J Comp Microbiol Immunol Infect Dis.* 1983;4(3):156-8.
- 58. Kulshreshtha RC, Kaira DS, Kapur MR. A study on brucellosis and the role of vets in containing it. *Indian J Med Microbiol*. 1978;22(4):332.
- 59. Kumar P, Singh DK, Barbuddhe SB. Sero-prevalence of brucellosis among abattoir personnel of Delhi. *J Commun Dis*. 1997 Jun;29(2);131-7.
- 60. Kumar R, Rao CVN. Porcine brucellosis in Tamil Nadu. *Indian Vet J.* 1980;57(1):1-4.

- 61. Kuralkar SV, Sahatpure SK, Waghmare SP, Shyam Sharma. Prevalence of Brucellosis in an organized dairy farm. *Intas-Polivet.* 2006; 7(2): 313-15.
- 62. Lord VR, Schurig GG, Cherwonogrodzky JW, Marcano MJ, Melendez GE. Field study of vaccination of cattle with B abortus strains RB 51 and S 19 under high and low disease prevalence. *Am J Vet Res.* 1998 Aug;59(8):1016-20
- 63. Maiti CR, Ghosh SS, Roy DJ, Sen GP, Sharma RH. Survey of bovine brucellosis in cattle breeding farms in Nagaland. *Indian J Anim Health.* 1980;19(2):83-7.
- 64. Manickam R, Mohan M. Epidemiological studies on *Brucella abortus* infection in milch cattle. *Indian Vet J.* 1987;64(7):546-9.
- 65. Mantur BG, Akki AS, Mangalgi SS,Patil SV, Gobbur RH,Peerapur BV. Childhood brucellosis- a microbiological, epidemiological and clinical study. *J Trop Pediatr.* 2004 Jun;50(3):153-7.
- 66. Mantur BG, Amarnath SK, Shinde RS. Review of clinical and laboratory features of human Brucellosis. *Indian J Med Microbiol.* 2007 Jul;25(3):188-202.
- 67. Maqsood N, Sheik MA, Munner MA, Ahmed MD, Rizvi AR, Acharya RM. Epidemiological patterns of brucellosis in buffaloes. *Proc World Buff Cong (India)*. 1988 Dec. 1990;4:30-2.
- 68. Mathai E, Singhal A, Verghese S, D'Lima D, Mathai D, Ganesh A, Thomas K, Moses P. Evaluation of an ELISA for the diagnosis of brucellosis. *Indian J Med Res.* 1996 Jun;103:323-4.
- 69. Maurin M. Brucellosis at the dawn of the 21st century. *Med Mal Infect.* 2005 Jan;35(1):6-16.
- 70. Mehra KN, Dhanesar NS, Chaturvedi VK. Sero-prevalence of brucellosis in bovines of Madhya Pradesh. *Indian Vet J.* 2000;77(7):571-73.
- 71. Minas A, Minas M, Stournara A, Tselepidis S. The 'effects' of Rev-1 vaccination of sheep and goats on human brucellosis in Greece. *Prev Vet Med.* 2004 Jun 10;64(1):41-7.

- 72. Mishra KC. Incidence of brucellosis in milk shed areas of Sikkim: a preliminary report. *Indian Vet Med J.* 1982;6(3):168-70.
- 73. Mishra VK, Basanti Bist, Sushrut Arora. Seroprevalence of brucellosis in humans from various regions of Gorakhpur District. *J Vet Public Health*. 2003; 1(2): 169-17.
- 74. Mohanty TN, Panda SN, Das BR, Pradhan SK, Pradhan RK. Sero-incidence of brucellosis among dairy farm workers in Orissa. *Indian Vet J.* 2000;77(7):568-70.
- 75. Mrunalini N, Ramasastry P. Serological survey on the occurrence of brucellosis in domestic animals and man in Andhra Pradesh. *Indian Vet J.* 1999;76(6):483-4.
- 76. Mrunalini N, Sastry PR, Pandarinadh GN, Rao MR. Control of brucellosis epidemic in goats in a farm. *Indian Vet J*. 2000;77(11):932-5.
- 77. Mrunalini N, Reddy M S, Ramasastry P, Rao MR. Seroepidemiology of human brucellosis in Andhra Pradesh. *Indian Vet J.* 2004; 81(7): 744-747.
- 78. Mudaliar S, Bhore A, Pandit D. Detection of antibodies to *Brucella abortus* in animal handlers. *Indian J Med Sci.* 2003 May;57(5):181-6.
- 79. Mudit Chandra, Singh BR, Hari Shankar; Meenu Agarwal, Gautam Sharma, Agrawal RK, Babu N. Seroprevalence of brucellosis in chevon goats from Bareilly slaughterhouse. *Indian J Ani Sci.* 2005; 75(2): 220-221.
- 80. Murti PSRC, Verma ND, Rajkhowa C, Ghosh SS. Diseases of livestock and poultry in NEH region. *Indian Farming*. 1986;35(12):29-31.
- 81. Nagal KB, Katoch RC, Sambyal DS, Sharma M. Sero-prevalence of brucellosis in livestock in Kangra valley in Himachal Pradesh. *Asian J Dairy Res.* 1991;10(1):15-18.
- 82. Nawathe DR, Bhagwat SS. Observations on brucellosis in Nagpur region, India. *Int J Zoonoses*. 1984;11(2):229-32.

- 83. Oberoi MS, Kwatra MS. Sero-detection of bovine abortions at organized farms in and around Punjab. *Indian J Comp Microbiol Immunol Infect Dis.* 1982;3(2):101-2.
- 84. Olsen, C. Responses of adult cattle to vaccination with a reduced dose of brucella abortus strain RB 51. Res Vet Sci. 2000 Oct;69(2):135-40
- 85. Palaniswamy K, Mahendran R, Idris K. Brucellosis- A serious threat to cattle production in plantations. *Internet article*. http://www.jphnk.gov.my/pmas/DISE2.HTML.
- 86. Pappas G, Papadimitriou P, Akritidis N, Christou L, Tsianos EV. The new global map of human brucellosis. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2006 Feb;6(2):91-9.
- 87. Paviter Kaur, Sharma NS, Jand SK, Oberoi MS. Isolation and identification of Brucella abortus from aborted cattle and buffaloes and evaluation of their antibiogram. *Indian J Ani Sci.* 2006; 76(2): 105-8.
- 88. Prahlad Kumar, Singh DK, Barbuddhe SB, Kumar P. Serological evidence of brucellosis in sheep and goats. *Indian J Anim Sci.* 1997;67(3):180-2.
- 89. Prahlad K, Singh DK, Barbuddhe SB, Kumar P. Sero-prevalence of brucellosis and comparison of serological tests to diagnose it in buffaloes. *Buff J.* 1999;15(3):361-70.
- 90. Project Directorate on Animal Disease Monitoring and Surveillance (PD\_ADMAS). Annual Reports 1994-2004; 2004-05; 2005-06.
- 91. Raju S, Kolhe RP, Raut C, Shinde SV, Zade NN. Sero-epizootological studies on brucellosis in goat and sheep. *J Vet Public Health*. 2004; 2(1/2): 1-6.
- 92. Puran Chand, Sharma AK. Situation of brucellosis in bovines at organized cattle farms belonging to three different states. *J Immunol Immunopathol.* 2004; 6(2): 11-15.
- 93. Purwar S, Metgud SC, Darshan A, Mutnal MB, Nagmoti MB. Infective endocarditis due to brucella. *Indian J Med Microbiol.* 2006 Oct;24(4):286-8.

- 94. Rahman H, Das KK, Bhattacharya D. Sero prevalence of brucellosis in cows and mithuns. *J Assam Vet Council*. 1996;6:53-4.
- 95. Rahman H, Das SK, Boro BR. Sero-prevalence of porcine brucellosis in Assam. *Indian J Med Microbiol.* 1984;28(1):9-10.
- 96. Rajeswari Shome, Shome BR, Senani S, Saha SK, Padhi MK, Srivastava N, Shome R. Isolation and characterization of Brucella abortus from bovines in Andamans. *Indian Vet J.* 1999;76(6):571-3.
- 97. Rajkhowa S, Rahman H, Rajkhowa C, Bujarbaruah KM. Seroprevalence of brucellosis in mithuns (*Bos frontalis*) in India. *Prev Vet Med.* 2005 Jun 10;69(1-2):145-51.
- 98. Rana UVS, Sehgal S, Bhardwaj M. A sero-epidemiological study of brucellosis among workers of veterinary hospitals and slaughter house of Union Territory of Delhi. *Int J Zoonoses*. 1985;12(1):74-9.
- 99. Rao PB, Madhubala K, Rao MR. Prevalence of viral and bacterial diseases among goats in Andhra Pradesh. *Indian Vet J.* 1998;75(10):924-5.
- 100. Rao PVR, Reddy PR, Rao KS. Species susceptibility to brucellosis- buffaloes vs cattle. *Indian J Anim Sci.* 1986;56(10):1053-4.
- 101.Rathore BS, Barman TK, Singh KP, Singh R, Mehrotra ML. Microbiological and epidemiological studies on brucellosis in an organized herd and rural cattle and buffaloes of Uttar Pradesh. *Indian J Comp Microbiol Immunol Infect Dis.* 2002; 23(2): 195-196.
- 102. Reddy PR, Ramulu M, Rao PVR, Rao SV. Brucella reactors in breeding bulls in Andhra Pradesh. *Indian Vet J.* 1983;60(4):329-30.
- 103. Reddy PR, Rao PVR. A sero-prevalence of porcine brucellosis in Andhra Pradesh. *Indian J Comp Microbiol Immunol Infect Dis*. 1980;1(1):29-32.
- 104. Renukaradhya GJ, Isloor S, Rajasekhar, M. Epidemiology, zoonotic aspects, vaccination and control/eradication of brucellosis in India. *Vet Microbiol.* 2002 Dec 20:90(1-4):183-95.

- 105. Richey EJ, Harrell Dix C. Brucellosis abortus disease (brucellosis) in beef cattle. Department of Large Animal Clinical Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine, Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida. 1997. (Internet article)
- 106.Roth F, Zinnstag J, Orkhon D, Chimed-Ochir G, Hutton G, Cosivi O, Carrin G, Otte J. Human health benefits from livestock vaccination for brucellosis:case study. *Bull World Health Organ.* 2003;81(12):867-76.
- 107.Roth F, Zinnstag J, Orkhon D, Chimed-Ochir M, Cosivi O, Carrin G, Pfeiffer D, Otte J. Economic analysis of the brucellosis control in Mongolia: Improvement of human health through interventions in the veterinary sector case of animal brucellosis control in Mongolia. Livestock Community and Environment. Proceedings of the 10<sup>th</sup> conference of the Association of Institutions for Tropical Veterinary Medicine, Copenhagen, Denmark, 2001.
- 108. Saini SS, Joshi VB, Khehra RS, Ramneek, Kwatra MS. Swine brucellosis among breeding pigs of Punjab and market pigs of Himachal Pradesh. *Indian J Anim Sci.* 1994;64(11):1177-9.
- 109. Saini SS, Sharma JK, Kwatra MS. Assessment of some management factors responsible for prevalence of brucellosis among traditionally managed animal population of Punjab. *Indian J Anim Sci.* 1992;62(9):832-4.
- 110. Savalgi V, Haemshetter BM, Basavaish P, Uttappa IM. An outbreak of *Brucella melitensis* in cattle, buffaloes and human beings. *Indian J Comp Microbiol Immunol Infect Dis*. 1987;8(4):173-4.
- 111. Seroka D, Seroka W. Evaluation of epidemiological surveillance of human brucellosis- occupational disease overcome in the midst of animals. *Przegl Epidemiology*. 1993;47(4):393-8.
- 112. Sarumathi C, Reddy TV, Sreedevi B. Serological survey of bovine brucellosis in Andhra Pradesh. *Indian J Dairy Sci.* 2003; 56(6): 408-410.
- 113. Sharma AK, Panda AK, Khurana SK, Katoch S, Katoch R. Prevalence of brucellosis in Spiti horses in North-Western Himalayas. *Centaur.* 2003; 20(4): 63-65.

- 114. Sharma RK, Arun Kumar, Thapliyal DC, Singh SP. Sero-epidemiology of brucellosis in bovines. Indian J Ani Sci. 2003; 73(11): 1235-1237.
- 115. Sharma S, Alka, Mahajan V, Kaur K, Verma S, Meenakshi, Kumar H. Screening of dairy farms for brucellosis and paratuberculosis. *Indian Vet J.* 2007; 84(3): 315-16.
- 116. Sharma VD, Sethi MS, Yadav MP, Dube DC. Sero-epidemiologic investigations on brucellosis in the States of Uttar Pradesh (UP) and Delhi(India). *Int J Zoonoses*. 1979;6(2):75-81.
- 117. Sharma VK, Savalia CV, Selvam DT, Darekar, SD. Seroprevalence of caprine and ovine brucellosis in Mehsana and Patan districts of Gujarat. *Intas-Polivet*. 2006; 7(2): 316-18.
- 118. Shaw AA. Studies on infectious fertility and abortion incidences of bovine brucellosis in Kashmir. *Indian Vet Med J.* 1986;10(3):137-40.
- 119. Sikdar A, Rao JR. A note on the prevalence of brucella agglutinins in milk samples of cattle in South Andamans. *Indian J Comp Microbiol Immunol Infect Dis.* 1985;6(2-3):133-5.
- 120. Singh BB, Sharma S, Kumar H, Dhand NK. Surveillance of diseases in organized dairy farms of Punjab. *J Res, Punjab Agricultural University*. 2004; 41(4): 490-494.
- 121. Singh G, Sharma DR, Dhand NK. Seroprevalence of bovine brucellosis in Punjab. *Indian Vet J.* 2004; 81(6): 620-623.
- 122. Singh M, Salaria M, Kumar L. Pneumonic presentation of brucellosis. *Indian J Pediatr.* 2005 Jan;72(1):65-6.
- 123. Singh SV, Singh N, Gupta VK, Shankar H, Vihan VS, Gupta VK, Tiwari HA. Seroprevalence of brucellosis in a few important Indian goat breeds. *Small Ruminant Res.* 1998;30(2):93-8.
- 124. Sinha BP, Verma BB. Studies on prevalence of equine brucellosis in Punjab. *Bull Off Int Epizoot*. 1979;91(7-8):545-52.
- 125. Smits HL, Kadri SM. Brucellosis in India: a deceptive infectious disease. *Indian J Med Res.* 2005 Nov;122(5):375-84.

- 126. Sodhi SS, Joshi DV, Sharma DR, Baxi KK. Seroprevalence of brucellosis and Q fever in dairy animals. Zentralbl Veterinarmed B. 1980;27(8):683-5.
- 127. Sreemannarayana O. Incidence of brucellosis in goats in Guntur. *Indian Vet J.* 1980;57(2):175-6.
- 128. Srivastava NC, Gupta BR, Kumar AA. *Indian J Comp Microbiol Immunol Infect Dis.* 1983;4(1):48.
- 129. Subash Kachhawaha, Kuldeep Singh, Tanwar RK. Serological survey of Brucellosis in cattle and buffaloes of Jodhpur Region. *Vet Practitioner.* 2005; 6(1): 43-44.
- 130. Suresh S, Ramakrishna J, Saseendranath MR, Treesamol PV, Bhat MN. Serosurvey of bovine brucellosis in Tamil Nadu. A recent study. *Cheiron*. 1993;22(1):1-7.
- 131. Terrestrial Animal Health Code, 2007. Office International des Epizooties. Paris, France. website:www.oie.int.
- 132. Thakur SD, Thapliyal DC. Seroprevalence of brucellosis in man. *J Commun Dis.* 2002 Jun;34(2):106-9.
- 133. Tongaonkar SS, Singh BK, Kant R. Comparative prevalence of infectious bovine rhinotracheitis, bluetongue, chlamydia and brucella antibodies in dairy animals. *Indian J Comp Microbiol Immunol Infect Dis.* 1986;7(4):139-143.
- 134. Vaid J, Lal Krishna, Kaushal RS. Sero-prevalence of animal diseases in Himachal Pradesh. *Indian Vet J.* 1991;68(8):705-7.
- 135. Vaishali Mittal, Mahesh Kumar, Tanuj Ambwani. Seroepidemiological pattern of brucellosis among livestock of district Udham Singh Nagar in Uttaranchal. *Indian J Vet Med.* 2005; 25(1): 28-32.
- 136. Varasada RN, Brahmbhatt MN, Bhong CD, Nayak JB. Prevalence of bovine brucellosis: assessment using milk-based ELISA technique. *Indian J Field Vet.* 2007; 2(4): 55-7
- 137. Verma ND. A preliminary serological survey of brucellosis in Manipur. *Indian J Comp Microbiol Immunol Infect Dis*. 1982;3(4):224-5.

- 138. Vikrant Jain, Upadhyay AK, Mahesh Kumar, Parihar GS. Epidemiological status of brucellosis in domesticated ruminants of Garhwal region in Uttaranchal state. *Indian J Vet Med.* 2006; 26(2): 130-2
- 139. Vinod VK, Nanu E, Sunil B. Seroprevalence of bovine brucellosis in and around Thrissur, Kerala. *J Vet Public Health*. 2006; 4(1): 51-3
- 140. Yadav MP, Singh BK, Uppal PK. Sero-prevalence of brucellosis in equines in some of the states of India. *Indian J Anim Sci.* 1992;62(1):41-2.