# Effect of Blending Soymilk on Sensory Quality of Paneer

P. G. Kokate, P. S. Ghube, H. V. Wadatkar, K. W. Sarap and A. S. Chavan

Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola-444104 Email: pgkokate.agri@gmail.com

# **Objective**

- 1) To observe the effect of blending of buffalo milk with soymilk on flavour of paneer.
- 2) To observe the effect of blending of buffalo milk with soymilk on body and texture of paneer.
- 3) To observe the colour and appearance of blending of buffalo milk with soymilk.
- 4) To find out Overall accept ability of paneer

# Methodology

Sensory qualities will be evaluated as per procedure described by Pal and Gupta (1985). Paneer will be evaluated by the panel of judges separately for flavour (45), body and texture (35), colour and appearance (20), that means by 100 point evaluation score.

Characters	Perfect score	Code No. of samples
		1 2 3 4 5
Flavour	45	
Body and texture	35	
Colour and appearance	20	
Total	100	

The coefficient of concordance will be worked out to study the significance of judgment.

## Result and Discussion

Data in respect of sensory evaluation of paneer prepared from buffalo milk and blends of buffalo milk with soymilk are presented in following tables.

Table 1. Shows that, the effect of blending of buffalo milk with soymilk on flavour of paneer. The average flavor score was 40.74 for paneer prepared from buffalo milk  $(T_1)$ , whereas 39.78, 39.56, 37.20 and 36.78 score for  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$  and  $T_5$  respectively. Flavor score for buffalo milk paneer in treatment  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  was significantly (\*P < 0.05) superior over  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$  and  $T_5$  treatments, respectively.





Table 1. Flavour score of paneer prepared from buffalo milk blended with soymilk (45 point)

Treatment	Proportion	Scores and Number of Replication				Mean	
	(BM:SM)	I	II	III	IV	V	
T <sub>1</sub>	100:00	39.50	40.00	41.20	41.00	42.00	40.74*
T <sub>2</sub>	75;25	40.00	40.20	39.00	39.70	40.00	39.78*
T <sub>3</sub>	50:50	38.70	40.0	39.80	39.00	40.30	39.56
$T_4$	25:75	37.50	38.00	39.00	38.50	37.00	37.60
$T_5$	00:100	36.20	36.90	37.30	36.50	37.00	36.78
'F' test							Sig.
SE (m)							0.33
CD at 5%							0.99

(BM- Buffalo milk, SM- Soymilk, \*P < 0.05)

From the data it was observed that there was no significant difference in flavour between  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . Whereas,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_3$   $T_3$  and  $T_4$  and  $T_5$  at par with each other.

Above result in agreement with the results showed by Rajor (1990) indicating that proportion of soymilk increase there was decrease in flavour score of yoghurt.

# Body and texture of paneer

Table 2. Body and texture of paneer prepared from buffalo milk blended with soymilk (35 point)

Treatment	Proportion	Scores and Number of Replication				Mean	
	(BM:SM)	Ι	II	III	IV	V	
$T_1$	100:00	33.40	32.50	33.10	33.20	32.70	32.98*
T <sub>2</sub>	75;25	31.50	32.70	32.60	31.80	33.00	32.32*
T <sub>3</sub>	50:50	29.50	30.00	29.00	29.80	30.20	29.70
T <sub>4</sub>	25:75	28.50	30.10	29.90	28.70	29.30	29.30
$T_5$	00:100	28.30	28.50	27.90	27.70	28.00	28.08
'F' test							Sig.
SE (m)							0.25
CD at 5%							0.76

(BM- Buffalo milk, SM- Soymilk, \*P < 0.05)

It was observed from Table 3. that, average body and texture score for buffalo milk paneer ( $T_1$ ) was 32.98, whereas 32.32, 29.70, 29.30 and 28.08 score for  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$  and  $T_5$ , respectively.

The body and texture of paneer in treatment  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  was superior over  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$  and  $T_5$ . There was significant reduction in body and texture score of paneer in treatment  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$  and  $T_5$ . Whereas, difference between  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  was at par with each other. It shows that blending of buffalo milk with soymilk





in treatment  $T_2$  to  $T_5$ , reduce the score. Body and texture of paneer depends upon total solid content in the milk. This may be due to less total solid and more moisture content in soymilk than buffalo milk.

The result were in agreement with, Ranganatham and Gupta (1987) who reported that, weak body may be due low solid content in milk which was used for preparation of yoghurt.

# Colour and appearance of paneer

Observation regarding colour and appearance of blending of buffalo milk with soymilk are presented in Table 3.

It was observed from Table 3. that, average score for colour and appearance of buffalo milk paneer ( $T_1$ ) was 19.46 and for treatments  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$  and  $T_5$  was 18.70, 17.28, 16.47 and 15.81, respectively. Highest colour and appearance score was obtaining in Treatment  $T_1$  while, lowest in Treatment  $T_5$ .

Table 3. Colour and appearance of paneer prepared from buffalo milk blended with soymilk (20 point)

Treatment	Proportion	Scores and Number of Replication					Mean
	(BM:SM)	Ι	II	III	IV	V	
$T_1$	100:00	20.00	19.70	19.00	19.50	19.10	19.46*
$T_2$	75;25	18.00	19.30	18.70	18.40	19.10	18.70
$T_3$	50:50	17.50	17.70	17.20	16.90	17.10	17.28
$T_4$	25:75	16.00	16.20	17.00	16.35	16.80	16.47
$T_5$	00:100	16.20	16.00	15.30	15.70	15.85	15.81
'F' test							Sig.
SE (m)							0.18
CD at 5%							0.56

(BM- Buffalo milk, SM- Soymilk, \*P < 0.05)

The result indicated that significant (p < 0.05) effect of proportion of soymilk with buffalo milk on colour and appearance of paneer. The buffalo milk paneer ( $T_1$ )was significantly superior over the blends of buffalo milk and soymilk paneer but not with  $T_2$ . Treatment  $T_4$  and  $T_5$  was at par with each other except  $T_2$  and  $T_3$ .

#### Overall acceptabilityofpaneer

The result obtained from effect of blending buffalo milk with soymilk for prepation of paneer on overall acceptability is presented in Table 4.

Data presented in Table 4, indicated that highest overall acceptability score (93.18) was obtained for buffalo milk paneer  $T_1$  while, 90.80, 86.54, 83.37 and 80.67 average overall acceptability score was obtained for the paneer prepared from buffalo milk blended with soymilk in treatments  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$  and  $T_5$  respectively. Treatment  $T_1$  showed highest overall acceptability score while treatment  $T_5$  showed lowest score. The result indicated significant (P < 0.05) effect of proportion of blending soymilk with cow milk on overall acceptability of paneer. Buffalo milk paneer was significantly superior in respect of overall acceptability score over blends on buffalo milk with soymilk used for preparation of paneer in treatments  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$  and  $T_5$ . However,  $T_2$  and  $T_1$ ,  $T_4$  and  $T_5$  was at par with each other. However the panel of judges also accepted treatment  $T_2$  on the basis of addition of soymilk.





Table 4. Overall acceptability of paneer prepared from buffalo milk blended with soymilk (100 point)

Treatment	Proportion	Scores and Number of Replication				Mean	
	(BM:SM)	I	II	III	IV	V	
T <sub>1</sub>	100:00	92.90	92.20	93.30	93.70	93.80	93.18*
T <sub>2</sub>	75;25	89.50	92.20	90.30	89.90	92.10	90.80
$T_3$	50:50	85.70	87.70	85.20	86.50	87.60	86.54
T <sub>4</sub>	25:75	82.00	84.30	85.90	81.55	83.10	83.37
T <sub>5</sub>	00:100	80.70	81.40	80.50	79.90	80.85	80.67
'F' test							Sig.
SE (m)							0.47
CD at 5%							1.43

(BM- Buffalo milk, SM- Soymilk, \*P < 0.05)

As overall acceptability depends upon the score at flavour, body and texture, colour and appearance, all these attributes decreases in treatments  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$  and  $T_5$ .i.e. 25 to 100 per cent addition of soymilk in buffalo milk used for preparation of paneer. These parameter in agreement with past references.

Changade and Tambat (1992) studied that acceptability of curd from blending of buffalo milk with soymilk, they observed that addition of soymilk in buffalo milk reduced the acceptability of curd. They further noted that acceptability score was reduced proportionately with increase in proportion of soymilk.

The sample were graded on the basis of total score obtained as detailed below.

Score	Grade
91-100	Excellent
89-91	Good
71-89	Fair

### Conclusion

For high acceptability sensory evaluation must be carried out which helps us for increasing the quality of product and also indirectly increased profit.



